

Message

From: Bahadori, Tina [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7DA7967DCAFB4C5BBC39C666FEE31EC3-BAHADORI, TINA]
Sent: 8/9/2016 10:52:21 AM
To: Ross, Mary [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=98359cd1f66f46ec91d327e99a3c6909-Ross, Mary]; Flowers, Lynn [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=1a4411c874d041b9a8badfc32b91bd70-Flowers, Lynn]; Thomas, Russell [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=12f119e7a3ee447499f3d6ab5d20daeb-Thomas, Rus]; Deener, Kathleen [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b9a2ff1c086249ea8f6414afde8a5e54-Deener, Kathleen]; Burke, Thomas [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=39ffc3dd34ea495b9a31e61b778fbbec-Burke, Thom]; Cogliano, Vincent [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=51f2736376ac4d32bad2fe7cfef2886b-Cogliano, Vincent]; Vandenberg, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=dcae2b98a04540fb8d099f9d4dead690-Vandenberg, John]; Slimak, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=197d6551afaf4d90b087c4d5bf62b53c-Slimak, Mike]; Kavlock, Robert [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=eebac67f01094409a7fdaa955a837884-Kavlock, Robert]; Gwinn, Maureen [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=4bdc5237a5c440a7b664518e23eb5647-Gwinn, Maureen]
Subject: RE: potential case study - innovations in risk assessment
Attachments: Theoretical Framework v1_RST_LF_TB.docx

Hi Everyone,

The case studies actually helped me. If I understood correctly,

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

I added to Rusty/Lynn comments to the table – mostly questions (attached).

I also drafted the EDSP case study using Mary's outline format, just as another point of comparison (below).

Tina

=====

EDCs – case study – prioritization

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Ross, Mary

Sent: Monday, August 08, 2016 10:43 PM

To: Flowers, Lynn <Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov>; Thomas, Russell <Thomas.Russell@epa.gov>; Deener, Kathleen <Deener.Kathleen@epa.gov>; Burke, Thomas <Burke.Thomas@epa.gov>; Cogliano, Vincent <cogliano.vincent@epa.gov>; Vandenberg, John <Vandenberg.John@epa.gov>; Slimak, Michael <Slimak.Michael@epa.gov>; Bahadori, Tina <Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov>; Kavlock, Robert <Kavlock.Robert@epa.gov>; Gwinn, Maureen <gwinn.maureen@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: potential case study - innovations in risk assessment

Oops!

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Ross, Mary

Sent: Monday, August 08, 2016 10:34 PM

To: Flowers, Lynn <Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov>; Thomas, Russell <Thomas.Russell@epa.gov>; Deener, Kathleen <Deener.Kathleen@epa.gov>; Burke, Thomas <Burke.Thomas@epa.gov>; Cogliano, Vincent <cogliano.vincent@epa.gov>; Vandenberg, John <Vandenberg.John@epa.gov>; Slimak, Michael <Slimak.Michael@epa.gov>; Bahadori, Tina <Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov>; Kavlock, Robert <Kavlock.Robert@epa.gov>; Gwinn, Maureen <gwinn.maureen@epa.gov>

Subject: potential case study - innovations in risk assessment

Hi everyone. I saw the comments from Rusty and Lynn on the table and I agree it's looking good – haven't had time to look more carefully though and will follow up tomorrow.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Chemical D – case study – focused assessment

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5